

International Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing

ISSN: 2581-7051 Vol. 6: Issue 2 www.journalspub.com

Quality of Life of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients Using Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients

R. Regi Bai^{1,*}, Ramesh Kumari²

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Himalayan University, Itanagar Arunachal Pradesh, India ²Principal, Mai Bhago College of Nursing, Tarn Taran, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes Mellitus exerts a great negative impact on quality of life mainly due to the impairment, limitations in almost all domains of daily lives and due to long term treatment and complication. Aim: The purpose of this study was to describe the quality of life among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. **Methods:** A descriptive study was conducted using the QOLID (Quality of life instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients) at KGM hospital, Coimbatore. A convenient sample of 30 patients participated. Subjects were asked to rate their level of satisfaction and the level of limitation of 34 aspects of life. **Result:** Out of 30 T2DM patients, majority was elderly males (70%), married (97%), unemployed (37%), and urban (57%), 80% of the samples had the onset of diabetes mellitus at the age of 18 to 34 years with 50% of the samples being on OHA and insulin treatment. Statistically significant (P<0.05) association was found with age, income, onset of diabetes and duration of diabetes. The domains like symptom botherless (1.89±0.78) and treatment satisfaction (1.99±0.87) were predominantly affected. Diabetes mellitus has good impact on financial worries (2.55 \pm 0.95). Diet satisfaction (2.30 \pm 0.79) and general health (2.24 \pm 0.79) were rated as the most satisfied items in the subscales. Conclusion: The subjects were satisfied with most areas of their lives. The reported quality of life was found to be satisfactory.

Keywords: quality of life, quality of life instrument for Indian diabetes patients, Type 2 diabetes mellitus

*Corresponding Author

E-mail: godwin_4u@rediffmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is a chronic disease, and it could affect both health and quality of life (QoL). Diabetes is the increasingly growing metabolic threat of our contemporary era. Diabetes was first described in an Egyptian manuscript from 1500 BC, mentioning "too great emptying of the urine" [1]. T2DM is a metabolic disease that is characterized by impaired metabolism of glucose resulting in chronic hyperglycemia which is caused by a defect in insulin secretion or insulin action [2].

The total number of diabetes patients worldwide may rise to about 370 million in 2030 [3].

The quality of life derives from the individual and subjective evaluation of each person's life, taking into account the perception of their physical, emotional and social well-being [4]. The determinants of the quality of life of the individual with diabetes remain undefined, as they have a lower QoL level than individuals without diabetes. In this possible negative impact

of DM on QoL, the aspects involved are not yet clearly known and it is known, however, that many variables OoL individuals influence in with diabetes, such as DM type, insulin use, complications, social psychological factors, ethnicity, schooling and knowledge of the disease [5], although the basis of care for DM is self-perception and self-care, that is, the patient himself should monitor glycemic levels, carefully recommended dietary intake, participate in physical activities and adhere to medication to avoid complications and preserve good quality of life [6]. The daily tasks of these self-care activities can be complex, confusing and often demanding.

Diabetes complications have serious health implications. People with T2DM are at risk of microvascular complications (i.e., nephropathy, neuropathy, and retinopathy) and macrovascular complications (i.e., cardiovascular disease and stoke) [6]. The concept of quality of life is now important aspect of health care with realization that being well is very important while patient is being treated.

METHODOLOGY

This descriptive study was conducted at KGM Hospital, Coimbatore after obtaining Ethical Committee approval from the Ethics committee. The study was done for a total duration of 2 weeks. During the study period, 30 patients by using convenience sampling technique were recruited with Type II diabetes based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria for this study were, DM patients who were aged above 18 years and either sex; on outpatient department; able to speak and read the Tamil and English and able to provide informed consent to participate in the study. Patients were excluded if they had malignancies, tumors or multiple organ system failure, or any major surgical

interventions in the previous 3 months. The instrument used for the study was the QOLID (Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients) questionnaire. It describes the quality of life in eight subscales. Subjects were asked to rate their level of satisfaction of 34 aspects of life [7]. The demographic information was recorded in the data collection form after obtaining their consent.

Table 1 shows that 70% of the patients belonged to the age group of 51 to 65 years and 70% were males. In this study, 77% of the samples were Hindus and 50% of the samples had undergone higher secondary education. 37% of the samples were unemployed and 97% of the patients were married. 50% of the samples earned less than Rs. 5000/month and 67% of them belonged to nuclear family. 57% of the sample lived in urban area and 80% of the samples had the onset of diabetes mellitus at the age of 18 to 34 years with 50% of the samples being on OHA and insulin treatment.

Table 2 shows that the Type 2 DM patients had worst impact on Symptom bother (1.89±0.78) and had effect on treatment satisfaction (1.99±0.87). Diabetes mellitus has good impact on financial worries (2.55±0.95). Diet satisfaction (2.30±0.79) and general health (2.24±0.79) were rated as the most satisfied items in the subscales.

The most satisfied domains are whereas family budget is not affected by the expenses related to diabetes (3.03±0.80); cost involved in the treatment (2.76±1.01); choice you have in eating meals/snacks away from home (2.66±0.69); and In general the health is (2.43±0.66).

The least satisfied domains are: frequent urination (1.40 ± 098) and limited from eating, dressing bathing or using toilet (1.70 ± 0.78) . Satisfaction with current



ISSN: 2581-7051 Vol. 6: Issue 2

www.journalspub.com

treatment (1.80 ± 0.53) and avoiding eating out (1.86 ± 0.83) are the most affected domains.

Table 1. Frequency and percentage distribution of demographic variables (N=30).

S.N.	Demographic Variables	Frequency N=30	Percentage (%)
1.	Age		
	a) 18–34 years	1	3%
	b) 35–50 years	2	7%
	c) 51–65 years	21	70%
	d) >66 years	6	20%
2.	Gender		•
	a) Male	21	70%
	b) Female	9	30%
3.	Religion	-	
	a) Hindu	23	77%
	b) Christian	6	20%
	c) Muslim	1	3%
4.	Education	-	370
••	a) Primary school	11	37%
	b) Higher secondary	15	50%
	c) Baccalaureate	13	3%
	d) Post graduate	3	10%
5.	Occupation 1 Ost graduate		1070
٥.	a) Full time	9	30%
	b) Retired	3	10%
	c) Unemployed	11	37%
	d) Others	7	23%
6.	Marital Status	/	25%
0.		20	070/
	a) Married	29	97%
7.	b) Widow Income	1	3%
1.	a) <rs. 5000<="" td=""><td>15</td><td>50%</td></rs.>	15	50%
		8	27%
	b) Rs. 5001–15000 c) Rs. 15001–25000	5	17%
0	d) >Rs. 25000	2	6%
8.	Type of Family	20	670/
	a) Nuclear family	20	67%
	b) Joint family	10	33%
9.	Area of Living	10	120/
	a) Rural	13	43%
	b) Urban	17	57%
10.	Age of Onset of DM		0000
	a) 18–34 years	24	80%
	b) 51–65 years	2	17%
	c) Above 65 years	1	3%
11.	Duration of DM		1 4
	a) < 3 years	4	13%
	b) 4–6 years	12	40%
	c) 7–10 years	6	20%
	d) >10 years	8	27%
12.	Mode of Treatment		1
	a) Oral Hypoglycemic	2	7%
	Agent		
	b) Insulin	13	43%
	c) OHA and Insulin	15	50%

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of OOLID(N=30).

C N	QOLID (N=30).				
S.N.	Domains	Mean	Dev		
I	Role Limitation due to Physical Health	2.07	0.84		
1.	Miss the work	1.96	0.80		
2.	Requirement of regular medication	2.33	0.64		
	and meals affect your work				
3.	Affect the efficiency of work	2.00	1.15		
4.	Diabetes is limiting the social life	2.03	0.79		
5.	Avoid travelling	2.23	1.01		
6.	Limitations of social activities	1.90	0.70		
II	Physical Endurance	2.18	0.89		
7.	Limited vigorous activities	2.26	0.95		
8.	Limited moderate activities	2.40	0.91		
9.	Limited walking uphill-climbing 1–2 floors	2.36	0.93		
10.	Limited walking 1–2 km	2.16	0.85		
11.	Limited from bending, squatting or turning	2.23	0.98		
12.	Limited from eating, dressing, bathing or using toilet	1.70	0.78		
III	General Health	2.24	0.79		
13.	In general, the health is	2.43	0.66		
14.	Concentrate on everything like reading, working, driving	2.03	0.93		
15.	Felt fatigue or tried	2.26	0.80		
IV	Treatment Satisfaction	1.99	0.87		
16.	Satisfaction with current treatment	1.80	0.53		
17.	Satisfaction with amount of time to manage diabetes	1.93	0.50		
18.	Satisfaction with amount of time spend for check ups	1.90	0.64		
19.	Satisfaction with time spend for exercise		1.83		
V	Symptom Bother	1.89	0.78		
20.	Felt excessive thirst/dry mouth	2.06	0.76		
21.	Felt excessive hunger	2.23	0.60		
22.	Frequent urination	1.40	0.98		
VI	Financial Worries	2.55	0.95		
23.	Cost involved in the treatment	2.76	1.01		
24.	Priority of expenditure shifted towards diabetes	2.16	1.17		
25	Family budget is affected by	3.03	0.80		
25.	expenses related to diabetes 3.0.		0.00		
26.	Limited expenditure on other aspects of life	2.26	0.84		
VII	Emotional/Mental Health	2.06	0.62		
27.	Satisfaction with your self	2.00	0.67		
28.	Satisfaction with personal	2.10	0.59		
29.	relationship Satisfaction with the emotional support you get from friends and family	1.93	0.56		
30.	Discouraged by your health problems	2.03	0.65		
31.	Able to lead your life in a purposeful manner	2.26	0.67		
VIII	Diet Advise Tolerance	2.30	0.79		
	Feel restrictions in choosing food				
32.	when eating out	2.40	0.87		
33.	Choice you have in eating meals/snacks away from home	2.66	0.69		
34.	Avoid eating out	1.86	0.83		

Table 3. Assessment of QOLID of diabetic patients (N=30).

S.N.	QoL Score	No. of Patients	Percentage
1.	Less than 68 (Low QoL)	0	0
2.	69-102 (Moderate QoL)	21	70%
3.	103 to 136 (Good QoL)	9	30%

Table 3 shows that the (21)70% of the patients had moderate quality of life and (9)30% of the patients had good quality of life.

Table 4. Chi-square distribution (N=30).

S.N.	Demographic Variable	χ^2	Significance
1.	Age	4.6	S
2. 3.	Sex	0.11	NS
3.	Religion	0.61	NS
4.	Education	1.10	NS
5.	Occupation	2.10	NS
6.	Marital status	0.32	NS
7.	Income	8.12	S
8.	Type of family	0.07	NS
9.	Area of living	0.13	NS
10.	Onset of DM	3.29	S
11.	Duration	4.79	S
12.	Mode of treatment	0.78	NS

Table 4 shows that the demographic variables significant at 0.05 level are age, income, onset of diabetes and duration of diabetes. The QoL in comparison to the demographic characteristics of the patient showed that there was no significant difference in the QoL scores between males and females.

DISCUSSION

In this descriptive study, the quality of life of 30 patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus was assessed. The samples were from the KGM Hospital, Coimbatore. The results of this study showed that patients with T2DM had a relatively high perception of their quality of life (QoL).

The majority of the study subjects were males 21(70%) and this was similar to the observation from the study carried in which the majority of the patients were males [8]. Majority of the patients were in the age group between 51 and 65 years as

observed in a study of global prevalence in diabetes [3]. In this study, diabetes-associated complications played a significant role in health-related QoL by significant effect on symptom bother, which was similar to the studies conducted by Fatma Ibrahim *et al.* [9].

Regarding overall quality of life, less than half of the study group had their quality of life rated as good. In the previous studies the results were the same [10, 11]. In a study, majority of the samples showed negative impact on quality of life [12]. A study showed that the overall average score for assessing QoL was lower in the diabetic patients than that of the healthy population [13]. Researchers have found that type 2 diabetes mellitus patients were more likely to report worse scores for functioning and general health than the normal population [14].

In this study, income also played a significant role in QoL which was in accordance to cross-sectional study conducted by Saleh et al. [15]. The OoL decreased in the presence of comorbidity [16]. Despite the results of number of previous studies [17–19], no significant association between age, social habits, duration of diabetes, and complications with QoL was found; the reason to which is that diabetic patients get adapted to the fact of disease and its associated complication. The study findings that as the age increases there is a significant decrease in the QoL score (p=0.024), are similar to the study which made a similar observation, where an increase in age decreased the QoL in diabetes patients.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study was carried out to evaluate the QoL in Type II diabetic patients. Among the 30 diabetic patients enrolled, the majority of them were males,



and majority had a history of diabetes for more than 4 years. Most of the patients had a moderate QoL score. There are various factors such as age of the patient, income, duration of history of diabetes, and onset of diabetes which reduce the QoL of diabetic patients (p<0.05). The presence of complication and comorbidity had an adverse effect on the QoL of diabetic patients; as the number of complications increased, the OoL decreased hypertension the predominant was comorbid condition.

Overall, patients with Type II diabetes have a moderate impact on their quality of life. It also shows that diabetes affects various domains such as physical functioning, emotional well-being, social functioning, economical status, general health in a patient's life, thereby QoL. affecting the Hence, recommended for patients to have an adequate and strict glycemic control enabling them to maintain their quality of life, preventing disease progression and diabetic complications.

The current study is one of the fewer studies in India assessing QoL of diabetics using an "Indian" instrument. We found following parameters to have statistical association with different QoL domains: family history of diabetes, presence of hypertension, BMI, educational status, marital status, income status, treatment type, and complications. We recommend assessment of QoL as a part of diabetes treatment modality. Although this study provides interesting results in Indian patients, further QoL studies are needed in the country to better explore the area and helping policy-makers.

ABBREVIATIONS

QOL: Quality of Life, T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, QOLID: Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients

REFERENCES

- [1] Poretsky Leonid. *Principles of Diabetes Mellitus*. 2nd Edn. New York: Springer; 2009.
- [2] American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2020 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. *Clinical Diabetes*. 2020; 38(1): 10–38p.
- [3] Sarah Wild, Gojka Roglic, Anders Green, *et al.* Global Prevalence of Diabetes: Estimates for the Year 2000 and Projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care.* 2004; 27(5): 1047–53p.
- [4] Hervás A, Zabaleta A, De Miguel G, *et al.* Health Related Quality of Life in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus Type 2. *An Sist Sanit Navar.* 2007; 30(1): 45–52p.
- [5] American Diabetes Association (ADA). Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes -2013. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31(1): S1–S66p.
- [6] Jitender Nagpal, Arvind Kumar, Sonia Kakar, *et al.* The Development of Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients (QOLID): A Validation and Reliability Study in Middle and Higher Income Groups. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 2010; 58: 295–304p.
- [7] Ashraf Eljedi, Mikolajczyk Rafel T, Alexander Kraemer, *et al.* Health-Related Quality of Life in Diabetic Patients and Controls without Diabetes in Refugee Camps in the Gaza Strip: A Cross-Sectional Study. *BMC Public Health.* 2006; 6(268): 1–7p.
- [8] Ken Redekop W, Koopmanschap Marc A, Stolk Ronald P, *et al.* Health-Related Quality of Life and Treatment Satisfaction in Dutch Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2002; 25(3): 458–63p.
- [9] Fatma Ibrahim Abd El Latif, Hassan Ali Abd EL Wahid, Amina Ahmed

- Mohamed, et al. Quality of Life of Type 2 Diabetic Patients in Relation to Gender and Socio-Economic Status in Egypt. Bio care. [Online]. Available from: https://medcravee books.com/view/Quality-of-life-of-type-2-diabetic-patients-attending-family-medicine-outpatient-clinic-of-Suez-Canal-university-hospitals-in%20Ismailia-city-thesis.pdf.
- [10] Khongsdir S, George CE, Mukherjee D, *et al.* Quality of Life in Patients with Diabetes and Hypertension in Karnataka- An Observational Study. *Int J Med Health Sci.* 2015; 4(1): 98–102p.
- [11] Leanne Katzenellenbogen.
 Assessment of Perceived Impact of
 Diabetes on Quality of Life in Group
 of South African Diabetic Patients.
 21 Nov 2008; 1–100p.
- [12] Koopmanschap M. Coping with Type II Diabetes: The Patient's Perspective. *Diabetologia*. 2002; 45(7): 18–22p.
- [13] You Lu, Ningjian Wang, Yi Chen, *et al.* Health-Related Quality of Life in Type-2 Diabetes Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study in East China. *BMC Endocr Disord.* 2017; 17: 38p.
- [14] Farzana Saleh, Ferdous Ara, Shirin Jahan Mumu, *et al.* Assessment of Health-Related Quality of Life of Bangladeshi Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Using the EQ-5D: A Cross-

- Sectional Study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015; 8: 497p.
- [15] Rodrigo Jiménez-Garcia, Isabel Jiménez-Trujillo, Valentin Hernandez-Barrera. Ten-Year Trends in Self-Rated Health among Spanish Adults with Diabetes, 1993–2003. *Diabetes Care*. 2008; 31(1): 90–2p.
- [16] Ronald Nyanzi, Robert Wamala, Atuhaire Leonard K. Diabetes and Quality of Life: A Ugandan Perspective. *J Diabetes Res.* 2014; 402012p.
- [17] Papazafiropoulou Athanasia K, Corresponding author Florentia Bakomitrou, Aikaterini Trikallinou, et al. Diabetes-Dependent Quality of Life (ADDQOL) and Affecting Factors in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus Type 2 in Greece. BMC Res Notes. 2015; 8: 786p.
- [18] Fariha Shaheen, Khalid Abdul Basit, Musarrat Riaz, *et al.* Assessing Health Related Quality of Life in Diabetic Subjects by SF 36 Questionnaire in a Tertiary Care Diabetes Unit of Karachi, Pakistan. *Int J Adv Res.* 2014; 2(6): 13–17p.
- [19] Glasgow Russell E, Laurie Ruggiero, Eakin Elizabeth G, *et al.* Quality of Life and Associated Characteristics in a Large National Sample of Adults with Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 1997; 20(4): 562–7p.

Cite this Article: R. Regi Bai, Ramesh Kumari. Quality of Life of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Patients Using Quality of Life Instrument for Indian Diabetes Patients. *International Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2020; 6(2): 23–28p.